

**DESCRIPTION LANGUAGE FOR AN EXTENSIBLE  
COMPILER AND TOOLS INFRASTRUCTURE**

5

**TECHNICAL FIELD**

The technical field relates to extensible software systems. More particularly, it relates to use of extensible classes.

**BACKGROUND**

10

The field of computing is becoming more and more complex each day due to the proliferation of multiple programming languages, diverse processors, and multiple operating system environments. A number of programming languages with special capabilities (e.g., C++, Java, C#) are available now to provide programmers special advantages in programming various computing tasks. Similarly, various processors (e.g., X86, IA-64, AMD, etc.) are available to provide special advantages for executing particular tasks. For example, embedded processors are particularly suited for handling well defined tasks within electronic devices, whereas a general purpose processor such as an Intel® Pentium® processor is more flexible and can handle complex tasks. Thus, the diversity in computing environments, configurations and devices is increasing.

15

20

25

This increased need for diversity has complicated the already highly complex field of building compiler programs. Traditionally, compiler programs were written to compile software written in a particular source code language and were targeted to a particular type of processor architecture (e.g., IA-64, X86, AMD, ARM etc.). More recently, translator programs have been introduced that convert programs written in multiple source code languages to a single intermediate language representation (e.g., CIL (C++ Intermediate Language) and MSIL (Microsoft® Intermediate Language for .NET)). However, it is still complex and time consuming to retarget the compilation of one source code program among several different types of target architectures.

One suitable method for reducing the complexities of building compilers and other software development tools (e.g., analysis tools, optimizers) for multiple software development scenarios such as multiple languages and multiple targets is to develop an extensible core infrastructure or framework to which software extensions can be added to build specially configured compilers and other software development tools. For example, if a user wants to build a just-in-time (JIT) compiler configured for a certain target architecture, his or her task may be made easier by generating the JIT compiler by reusing the code of a core compiler framework and adding extensions with code specific to the JIT compiler type scenario. The same can be imagined for other software development tools such as optimizing tools, analysis tools, performance tools, testing tools, etc.

Building such customized compilers and other software development tools using such an extensible core compiler and tools framework is not without its own set of complexities. This is particularly true for an extensible compiler and tools framework that can be configured in multiple different ways to reflect multiple different software scenarios depending on languages, target architectures, and compiler types to be built (e.g., JIT, Pre-JIT, Native Optimizing Compiler etc.). One such complexity is related to defining data structures (e.g., object classes in a object-oriented language) of the core framework in a extensible manner such that extension fields dependent on multiple different software scenarios can be added to extend the data structures of the core framework. Traditional techniques of adding extension fields to a class definition can be used, but only at a hefty price paid for by reduced performance and increased code complexity, which can result in increased development and maintenance costs.

Thus, there is a need for a simple, but effective way for extending the object classes of a core framework software system using multiple different class extensions which depend on multiple different possible software development scenarios.

## SUMMARY

Methods and systems are described herein for extending a software program by providing configuration dependent extended classes. In one aspect, class extensions dependent on various software development scenarios may be provided and added to  
5 extend core classes. Various class extensions may be combined to develop specially configured classes. In one aspect, classes of a core software program may be defined as either statically or dynamically extensible. If core classes are declared to be statically extensible, a header file combining the core class definitions and their corresponding class extensions may be generated and compiled together to generate an extended class.  
10 Such an extended class may be used to extend the core software program in a configuration dependent manner.

However, if a core class is declared to be dynamically extensible at runtime, then separate header files comprising the core class declarations and separate files comprising extension declarations may be generated. The header files corresponding to  
15 the core classes and those corresponding to class extensions are then separately compiled to generate computer-executable files with links to each other such that the class extensions are added to extend the core classes at runtime.

In yet another aspect, extension points may be provided within core class declarations to specifically indicate the point within the core class declarations where  
20 class extensions should be injected. An object description language with the appropriate syntax for defining extensible classes and class extensions is also described herein.

Also, as described herein is a pre-processor program capable of receiving input in an object description language and generating output in a source code representation  
25 to produce an extended version of the software program. In another aspect, the pre-processor is capable of generating output in any language that can eventually be compiled to a form executable by a computer.

### **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF DRAWINGS**

FIG. 1 is a block diagram showing an exemplary configuration dependent extensible core software framework.

FIG. 2A is a block diagram illustrating data structures implemented as classes and objects in an exemplary object oriented programming language.

FIG. 2B is a block diagram showing the relationship between classes of an extensible core software program and its corresponding extensions.

FIG. 3A is a flow chart of an overall method for extending a class definition by adding class extensions.

FIG. 3B is a flowchart of an overall method for generating a configuration dependent extended version of a core software program.

FIG. 4A is a block diagram depicting an extended version of a core software framework, wherein the extension was implemented statically prior to compile time.

FIG. 4B is a block diagram depicting an extended version of a core software program, wherein the extension was implemented dynamically at runtime.

FIG. 5 is a flow chart of a method for statically extending a core software program.

FIG. 6 is a block diagram depicting a system for statically extending a core software program as shown in FIG. 5.

FIG. 7 is a flow chart of a method for dynamically extending a core software program.

FIG. 8 is a block diagram depicting a system for dynamically extending a core software program as shown in FIG. 7.

FIG. 9A is a listing of a core class declaration of a statically extensible core class in an object description language.

FIG. 9B is a listing of two class extensions to the statically extensible core class declaration of FIG. 9A.

FIG. 9C is a listing of a source code representation of an extended class declaration generated by associating the core class declaration of FIG. 9A with the class extensions of FIG. 9B.

FIG. 10A is a listing of a core class declaration of a dynamically extensible core class in an object description language.

FIG. 10B is a listing of an extension to the dynamically extensible core class declaration of FIG. 10A.

FIG. 10C is a listing of a source code representation of an extended class declaration generated by associating the core class declaration of FIG. 10A with the class extension of FIG. 10B.

FIG. 11A is a listing of a core class declaration indicating extension points for injecting class extensions.

FIG. 11B is a listing of an extension to the core class definition of FIG. 11A.

FIG. 12 is a block diagram illustrating exemplary implementation of constructing software development tools according to multiple software development scenarios.

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION

### Exemplary software development tools

Although the technologies described herein have been primarily illustrated via examples using compilers, any of the technologies can be used in conjunction with other software development tools (e.g., debuggers, optimizers, disassemblers, simulators and software analysis tools).

### An extensible software development tool framework

FIG. 1 illustrates an exemplary core software framework that may be extended to build custom compilers and other software development tools of multiple different configurations to reflect multiple software development scenarios. The core

provides an extensible architecture that can be used as a building block to build customized software development tools 111-114. The core 110 software can be extended by adding software extensions related to one or more software development scenarios. For example, a JIT (Just-In-Time) compiler 111 targeting an IA-64 processor 121 may be built by providing software extensions to the core 110. In this case, the fact that the compiler is a JIT compiler 111 and that is being targeted for a particular processor architecture (IA-64 processor at 121) may determine the form and content of the software extensions to the core 110. Thus, software extensions related to the JIT compiler scenario and the IA-64 target scenario may be used to specify a configuration for building a customized software development tool. Other scenario factors such as the source languages 101-104 and the features of the tool that may be turned on or turned off based on particular software development scenarios may also add complexity to the task of building custom software development tools by extending a standard core framework such as the one in FIG. 1.

15

### **Exemplary Software Development Scenarios**

There may be numerous software development scenarios that can influence the choice of software extensions to be incorporated into a core framework 110. For example, a software development scenario for a particular software development tool may include various processor architectures (e.g., IA-64, X86, AMD, ARM etc.) to which the tool will be targeted. Also, software development scenarios may be related to a type of compilation being performed (e.g., JIT, Pre-JIT, Native Optimizing Compiler). Software development scenarios may also be related to other types functionality performed by the software development tool such as type of analysis, optimization, simulation, debugging, code generation etc. Yet another software development scenario may be related to a particular programming language (e.g., Java, C++, C# etc.) for which the software development tool may be specially configured. Furthermore, software development scenarios may also relate to whether the tool is to be used with a

managed execution environment (e.g., Microsoft CLR's environment provided by the Microsoft .NET Framework) or not. The above examples provide a limited set of software development scenarios or factors that may affect the choice of extensions needed to properly extend a core framework 110. Similarly, other scenarios can also  
5 influence the choice of software extensions needed to configure a custom software development tool. A collection of such scenarios may be referred to as a configuration. However, a particular configuration of a custom software development tool may be influenced by a single scenario.

10

### **Exemplary Objects**

In object-oriented programming, objects can be used to store data and access functionality for the data. Objects are defined by providing class definitions which may then be used to instantiate an object belonging to that class.

FIG. 2A illustrates a class definition 201 for an exemplary type "bicycle" having  
15 variable or data members (e.g., or fields) 201A-C and methods 202A-C. A specific instance of a class 201 is an object 202. Generally speaking, the data members may describe the state of an object, whereas the methods are used to ascribe behaviors to the object. In this example, the variables 201A-C are given specific values 202A-C when an object 202 of class 201 is instantiated. Data members and methods are sometimes  
20 collectively referred to as "class members."

Similarly, class definitions for an extensible core framework such as 110 may be provided to describe the data structures needed to implement the core 110. Furthermore, to extend the core framework 110 according to various software development scenarios, the core classes may be changed or extended depending on such  
25 software development scenarios.

### **Exemplary Software Extensions**

One manner of extending a core software framework according to chosen software development scenarios is to extend the software classes. Software classes can be extended by changing the definitions of the object classes.

5 Exemplary class extensions can include one or more class extension members (e.g., data members or methods). When the class is extended, the class definition is modified to include the specified class extension members.

Thus, core classes may be extended by having additional class members defined and incorporated into their core class definitions. These additional class members may  
10 be referred to as class extension members that extend the core classes. Collectively, such class extension members can be called a “class extension.”

The class extensions can vary greatly in a number of different ways. For example, certain class members required for some class extensions may not be required for others. Also, methods, functions and interfaces within a certain class extension may  
15 not be present at all in others, or, if they are present, may be defined differently. Such class extension members may relate to a software development scenario. For instance, the extra fields or class members to be added to a core class of a compiler framework to build a JIT compiler 111 may be far fewer than the number of fields or class members that may be required for a class extension related to a Native Optimizing  
20 Compiler 113.

### **Exemplary Software Development Scenario Class Extension Sets**

Once the software development scenarios for configuring a software development tool are determined by a developer, their respective class extensions can  
25 be specified to develop an extended version of a core software framework. Appropriate software (e.g., a preprocessor or compiler) can then receive the specified scenario and include the set of extensions appropriate for the scenario. Thus, class extensions for a particular software development scenario can be grouped into a software development



scenario class extension set having one or more class extensions appropriate for the scenario. The software development scenario class extension set can be invoked to extend the appropriate classes when developing a software development tool. The classes can be extended during development or at run time.

5           For example, various software classes are used to implement the core 110. If a developer specifies the software development scenarios of JIT compilation and IA-64 target architecture, the class extensions related to a JIT compiler and the class extensions related to an IA-64 target architecture are incorporated into core class definitions to extend the classes of a core framework 110 to be used in generating an  
10   extended version of core framework.

#### **Expressing the relationship between core classes and their extensions**

FIG. 2B is a block diagram illustrating the relationship between core class definitions and their class extensions. The core node 210 may be related to a definition  
15   of a core class and is shown as having class members 1 and 2. The extended class definition at 220 may be necessary for implementing particular software development scenarios, and it may add additional class members 3 and 4 through an extension 225. Extended class definition 230 is the same as 220 and may be generated by adding the same additional class members 3 and 4 at extension 225 to the core class definition 210.  
20   However, the extended class definition 260 is different and may be generated by adding additional class members 5 and 6 at extension 265. In a similar manner, extended class definitions 240 and 250 may be extended by adding extensions 245, and 255 to the class definitions 220 and 230, respectively. Also, the extended class definitions 270 may be generated by adding extension 255 to the extended class definition 260.

25           In the example of FIG. 2B, class extensions are illustrated as having more than one class extension member. However, a class extension may have one or more class extension members, or it may replace one or more existing class members of the core

class definition. Furthermore, a class extension may be in the form of a definition of a function of a method member found in the core class definition.

Thus, extensions of class definitions for a core software development tool framework can be represented as shown in FIG. 2B with additional class extensions  
5 depending a compilation scenario (JIT, Pre-JIT, Native Optimizing Compiler etc.), language scenario (C#, Visual Basic etc.), target scenario (IA-64, X86, AMD, ARM etc.) and other variables that may influence a particular configuration of an extended version of the exemplary extensible software development tool framework of FIG. 1.

However, representing or expressing the class extensions for a core framework  
10 with a particular configuration which may depend on a multitude of software development scenarios (i.e., target, compilation etc.) can soon get very complicated. For example, FIG. 2B depicts some simplified extension cases whereby the extended class definitions 220, 230, 240 and 250 inherit from previously defined classes in an orderly fashion without any single extension being used to extend multiple parent  
15 classes. However, this may not be the case when representing the extended class for a particular configuration of a software development tool that at various levels in class hierarchy may need to be extended in multiple different ways.

For example, it is possible that some of the same extensions may need to be used to extend multiple parent classes, instead of extending a single parent class. For  
20 example, the extension 255 may be used to extend the class definition 230 as well as the class definition 260. Thus, depending on a software development scenario, the same extension may be used to extend diverse parent classes. Such possibility of multiple inheritances when combined with the sheer number of software development scenarios and related extension configurations place an enormous burden on computer  
25 programmers.

In one approach, the relationship between the core class definitions and the definitions of the extended core classes can be programmed as a chain of inheritances between base classes and their sub-classes. However, such an approach tends to result

in class bloat and can soon become burdensome to a programmer. With this approach, the programmer has the task of not only manually developing the extension sub-classes but he or she also has the added task of destroying unused objects to manage the use of limited memory resources.

5 Yet another manual approach to extending a base class definition may be the use of IF-DEF statements or other methods for implementing conditional compilation. In such an approach, the programmer may provide a base class definition and manually add IF-DEF statements or other conditional compilation statements including definition of extensions in their body to conditionally add the extensions to the base. This  
10 approach does have the advantage of extending classes only when needed, and thus, may be a better approach than generating a new sub-class for each extension, which can result in unwanted overhead. However, this approach is also manual in nature and requires a programmer to include IF-DEF statements in numerous locations for each possible configuration, causing the code to be littered with a multitude of such IF-DEF  
15 statements.

**An exemplary overall process for generation of class extensions and their associations to a core class using an object description language**

FIG. 3A depicts an overall process for extending a core class definition for  
20 building a compiler or a tool by extending a core framework. First, data indicating an extension is encountered at 302 and at 304 the class of the software development tool is extended as indicated by the extension.

FIG. 3B describes an overall process for building a compiler or a software development tool by using software scenario dependent extensions for extending a core  
25 framework. At 310, a simplified object definition language (ODL) may be used to define the core classes. Then at 320, the configuration for a particular software development tool based on software development scenarios including its compiler type scenario, and the particular target scenario that it is being built for may be determined.

Then, based on each scenario factor that influences a configuration, the object description language may be used to define the extensions at 330 to represent the additional or different class extension members needed to extend the core class. At 340, the extension may be associated with a core class to appropriately extend the core class definition. The syntax for the object description language should provide for defining core classes as being extensible or not and further to associate a particular set of class extension members as extensions of a selected core class. An appropriate syntax for such a description language is described with examples further below. Furthermore, a pre-processor translation program may be used to translate the data or the object description language to source code of a programming language. After such pre-processing, at 350, the extended class definition may be processed further and used to implement a compiler or other software development tools of a particular configuration by extending a core framework.

Using the process above, multiple different definitions of extensions can be provided separately and each extension can simply extend the core or the base class as necessary without having to maintain any complex inheritance relationships. The programmers providing a particular extension of a core class need not be aware of the other extensions of the core class. This not only simplifies the task of defining the extensions, but also, the users of the extended core class need only be aware of core class names to use the extended core class. Thus, the programmers can be freed from the task of remembering complex hierarchical relationships among class definitions when using extended class definitions.

#### **Extending a core framework program dynamically at runtime and extending a core framework program statically prior to compiling the core program**

One approach for extending a core framework program may be to obtain access to the source code files of the core program and to statically extend the core classes as needed by using the object description language to define the extensions, which may

then be processed to generate the source code related to the extended classes.

Alternatively, the extended classes may be generated by manually adding the extensions directly to the source code in a source code programming language. FIG. 4A illustrates this approach whereby the extensions 420, 430 and 440 are added to the core

5 framework file 410 in order to extend it and then the extensions 420, 430 and 440 are compiled as part of the now extended core framework file 410.

However, this approach may not be suitable for all purposes because the programmers providing the definition of the extensions such as 420, 430 and 440 will need to have access to the source code of the core framework 410. This may not be  
10 desirable in circumstances where the providers of the core framework 410 wish to keep the core framework source code secret or unchanged. In that case, the second approach depicted in FIG. 4B may be used, whereby the core compiler and tools framework 450 is compiled as a separate file from the extensions 460, 470, and 480.

In the second approach, the extensions 460, 470 and 480 and the core  
15 framework 450 may be adapted to have links to each other such that at runtime the extensions are linked to the core framework to appropriately extend the core framework. The links may be implemented as a simple linked list that specifies which extensions are to be used to extend particular core classes. This may also be achieved by using simple naming conventions that appropriately relate the extensions to the core  
20 classes as and when needed. In comparison to the first approach, this second approach may require additional overhead processing related to aspect of linking at runtime and thus, may be a slower implementation. On the other hand, this second approach does provide the flexibility of allowing the extending of a core class by developers not having access to the source code of the core framework.

25

#### **An exemplary method for extending a core class statically prior to compilation**

FIG. 5 illustrates a method for statically extending classes related to a core framework program prior to compile time as shown with reference to FIG. 4A above.

The core classes and their extensions may be defined using an object description language. The definitions of the core classes and the class extensions need not be generated simultaneously or together. However, adding the class extensions would require some access to the source code of the core program. Once such class definitions  
5 are obtained, then at 510, the definitions of the core classes and their extensions would together be processed by an ODL pre-processor which can translate an object description language representation to a source code representation. Thus at 520, the result of the pre-processing by the ODL processor would be a header file and possibly some other code expressing the definitions of the core classes and their extensions in a  
10 source code language such C++. Further at 530, the header file with the extended class definitions comprising the core class members and the class extension members would then be compiled along with the rest of the code related to the now extended core framework to generate custom configured compilers and other software development tools.

15 FIG. 6 illustrates an exemplary system for implementing the process of FIG. 5. As shown in FIG. 6, multiple definitions of extensions 610 to core class definitions 620 can be stored as object description language files. An ODL pre-processor 630 may be provided which is capable of receiving the files 610 and 620 corresponding to the core class definitions and class extension definitions respectively. The pre-processor should  
20 also be capable of translating the files 610 and 620 from their object description language form to a source code representation 640. The source code representation can be in any language that can be eventually compiled to a form executable by a computer processor. The source code 640 generated by the pre-processor 630 may include header files where class definitions are typically stored. A source code compiler 650  
25 appropriate for the language of the source code 640 emitted by the pre-processor 630 may be provided for compiling the source code representation 640 to create customized extended versions of core software programs such as compilers and other software development tools.

**An exemplary method for extending a core class dynamically at runtime**

FIG. 7 illustrates a method for extending a core class definition of a extensible core framework software program by linking the extension to the appropriate core classes at runtime. The core class definitions and the extensions may be expressed separately using an object description language. The description language may be suitable for expressing that a core class definition is dynamically extensible. Also, such a language may be suitable for expressing the associations between particular core class definitions and their extensions. Syntax for one such suitable language is described in further detail below. Once the definitions are expressed, an ODL pre-processor may be used at 710 to translate the definitions in the object description language representation to a source code representation at 720. However, unlike the static process (FIG. 6), in the dynamic process of FIG. 7, the core class definitions are not processed by the ODL pre-processor together with the definition of their extensions. Instead, source code header files corresponding to core class definitions and source code header files corresponding to class extension definitions are generated separately. These may be generated by different ODL pre-processors but it is not necessary to do so. Furthermore, at 730, the header files containing core class definitions and the header files containing the class extension definitions are compiled separately to create separate files that are executable by a computer. However, at 740, during runtime, the class extension definitions may be linked to the appropriate core class definitions to extend the core classes as defined.

FIG. 8 illustrates an exemplary system for implementing the process of FIG. 7. As shown in FIG. 8, the class extension definitions are provided in an object description language and stored in files 810. It is not necessary that each class extension be stored as a separate file as shown. The core class definitions are also provided in an object description language and stored in files 820. According to the process described in FIG. 7 an ODL pre-processor 825 is provided for processing the core class definitions

by translating the core class definitions from an object description language representation to a source code language representation to be stored as header file 835. Similarly, yet another ODL pre-processor 830 may be provided for processing the class extension files 810 to generate source code header files 840 comprising class extensions. A source code compiler 845 may be provided for compiling the class extension header files 840 to generate a computer executable file 860 containing the class extension definitions. Similarly, a source compiler 850 may be provided for compiling the header files 835 containing the core class definitions to generate computer executable files 855 containing the core class definitions. Then at runtime, as the executable files corresponding to the core classes 855 and the executable files corresponding to class extensions are executed, the links 870 provided within the core and the extension classes can cause the core classes to be extended appropriately.

#### **Object description language for providing statically extensible class definitions**

As noted above, a simple object description language can be used to provide class definitions that are dependent on the desired configuration of an extensible core framework program. For example, the particular configuration of a core framework can place disparate demands on the class definitions based on software development scenarios such as the type of compiler (e.g., JIT, Pre-JIT, Native Optimizing etc.), type of target (e.g., IA-64, X86, ARM etc.) and other scenario factors. The next few sections describe such a language that can be processed by an ODL pre-processor to generate class definitions in a source code language as described with reference to FIGS. 5 through 8 above.

FIG. 9A depicts a standard class declaration using the object description language. In general, the declaration 900 comprises a header 911 and a body 912. The header may be used to declare the visibility of the class (e.g., public, private, etc.), the class name, etc. As shown at 913, the header also comprises an attribute definition enclosed within square brackets as the following example:



[attribute\_name]

This declaration may be used to define the attributes of a class such as, whether it is a managed class (e.g., in a .NET scenario) or not and also, the extensibility attribute of the class. For example, defining whether it is a managed class may be done by  
5 declaring the [gc] attribute to indicate that the garbage collection for the objects of this class is automatically done (e.g., by the .NET framework). For class extensibility attribute, one approach is to assume that all classes are statically extensible as described above. Thus, no special attribute may be required to specifically declare that a class is statically extensible. However, as shown in FIG. 10A, a class that is to be extended at  
10 runtime (i.e. dynamically) may be declared with a specific attribute as such as [extensible].

In the example shown in FIG. 9A, the class SYM is a statically extensible class with core class members 914 and 915 in its body. The class 900 may be a core class and the class members TYPE 914 and NAME 915 may be class members that are  
15 common to all configuration dependent extended classes that rely on the core class. Once the core class 900 is defined, class extensions for generating an extended version of the core class definition for particular configuration of a core framework may be provided.

FIG. 9B shows two such class extension definitions 920 in an object description  
20 language. For simplicity, the form of a class extension is very similar to that of a core class declaration or definition. The extension 925 shows class members 926 related to a JIT compilation scenario for building a JIT compiler from a core framework. The JIT compilation scenario may need specific class members 926 such as interfaces, methods, and variables that are specific to the JIT compiler configuration but not necessarily so  
25 for other configurations. Thus, an extension 925 may be provided which, when processed by an ODL pre-processor, extends the core class definition of FIG. 9A. The keyword "extend" at 927 indicates that this is a static extension for the core class SYM. Also, the attribute specification [JIT] 928 indicates that the extension is only to be

applied when extending the core framework to implement a JIT compiler. Similarly, the extension 930 may be provided for adding class members 931 specific to building a tool for targeting an IA-64 processor. The two extensions 925 and 930 are independent of each other and may be provided by different parties and the extensions need not rely  
5 on each other in any way as may be the case with extending core class definition using traditional class-subclass dependencies. Moreover, the programmers do not need to keep track of complex dependency relationships.

Other extensions due to other factors may be provided. For example, in an intermediate language for C++ there may be a need to link two function symbols  
10 together. This function may be added as an extension specific to software development tools configured to process the intermediate language for C++, wherein the extension would comprise a method for linking the two function symbols together.

The actual conditional implementation of the exemplary JIT compiler related extension 925 and the exemplary IA-64 target related extension 930 may be  
15 implemented when an ODL pre-processor generates a source code representation of the extended class definition as shown in FIG. 9C. The extended class 940 is shown not only having the original class members related to the core class definitions but it is now has the added class members 926 and 931. Thus, the extended class as shown is a class definition for a configuration of a JIT compiler for targeting an IA-64 processor. In the  
20 same manner, multiple different extensions based on multiple different software development scenarios can be conditionally added on to statically extend a core class. The extended core class 940 when compiled using an appropriate source code compiler will help generate a customized version of the core framework.

## 25 **Object description language for providing dynamically extensible class definitions**

One disadvantage of the static extensions is that it requires compiling the core framework and the extension together for generating one single file executable by a computer. This means those that are providing the extensions also need to have access

to the source code of the core framework for recompiling it along with the extensions. To avoid this situation, which may not be desirable for a number of different reasons, extended class definitions may be generated at runtime.

FIG. 10A depicts one example of a class declaration using the object description  
5 language (ODL) to dynamically extend a core class definition based on a particular configuration of an extended version of a core framework. The core class definition for a class INSTR 1010 has a header with an attribute "extensible" at 1011 for indicating that this is a dynamically extensible class declaration. The body of the class declaration 1012 has class members that are common to the framework. However, the attribute  
10 [extensible] at 1011 when processed by an ODL pre-processor generates and injects an extension object to the source code that can serve as a place holder for adding further class members to be provided later at runtime by an appropriately linked extension. FIG. 10B illustrates one such extension 1020 associated with a particular target scenario. In this example, extension 1020 adds the HINTBITS 1021 and  
15 PREDICATES 1022 class extension members particular to implementing a software development tool for a IA-64 target processor. The attribute [IA-64] 1023 is used to indicate that this particular extension is only applicable to a customized configuration of a core compiler and tools framework targeted for an IA-64 processor. The keyword 1024 "extends" is added to indicate that the extension 1020 is a dynamic extension of  
20 the class INSTR at 1025.

As noted above, in the case of dynamically generating an extended class the core class definitions 1010 and the class extension definitions 1020 are processed to create separate source code representations of the core class definitions and their extensions. Also, these separate source code representations are later compiled separately to  
25 generate separate files executable by a computer. In this case, unlike the static extensions described above, class extension members needed to extend a core class definition are not simply added to the source code header files with the extended class definitions. Instead, as shown in FIG. 10C, other code 1031 may be added to GET and

SET class extensions that the dynamically extensible class definition expects to be added to the core class definition 1010 at runtime. Thus, in comparison to a static extension, the dynamic extensions may have the added overhead of having to execute some additional procedures 1031 in order to appropriately extend a class definition at runtime. Thus, typically the dynamic extensibility reduces the speed of a process but on the other hand provides for additional flexibility for providing extensions because in this approach the core and the extensions may be compiled separately. This allows for third parties to provide extensions to core class definitions without needing access to the source code of the core framework.

FIG. 11A illustrates yet another example of a dynamically extensible core class definition 1110. The keyword "extensible" 1111 indicates that this class INSTR is extensible and the body of the class also provides the class members for the class prior to adding any extensions. One of the class member methods 1112 has a keyword "extension point" which indicates that one of the class extensions is to be incorporated at the indicated extension point 1112 by specifically defining the method or interface FOO().

FIG. 11B depicts a suitable dynamic extension 1120 for the extending the extensible class shown in FIG. 11A by providing not only the HINTBITS 1121 and PREDICATES 1122 class extension members needed for a configuration targeting an IA-64 processor but also the definition of the FOO () method 1123 indicated by the extension point 1112. The "extension point" key word provides for a finer grain of control for indicating the specific points of the core class definitions where extensions may be injected into. Furthermore, this can be done in a simple manner by the use of object definition language which automatically generates the appropriate pointers to the appropriate extensions. Similarly, extension points may also be represented in a statically extensible core class definition.

**Exemplary implementation for customizing software development tools**

FIG. 12 illustrates an exemplary implementation of constructing software development tools according to multiple software development scenarios. A core class 1210 may be extended by adding class extensions related to various software development scenarios. For example, the class extensions may be related to software development scenario of particular target architectures 1220 (e.g. X86, IA-64 etc.), and other extensions may be related to compilation scenarios 1230 (e.g., JIT, Native etc.). Similar, other software development scenarios may affect the choice of extensions. For example, there may be extensions particular to managed code implementation 1240 of a software development tool, or an extension may indicate one out of a set of programming languages. Thus, these various configurations of extensions can be added to extend a core class 1210 to build a software development tool 1250 using an extensible core framework.

15

**Alternatives**

Having described and illustrated the principles of our invention with reference to the described embodiments, it will be recognized that the described embodiments can be modified in arrangement and detail without departing from such principles. Although, the technology described herein have been illustrated via examples using compilers, any of the technologies can use other software development tools (e.g., debuggers, optimizers, simulators and software analysis tools). Furthermore, the principles of generating extensions have been primarily described herein with reference to extending core classes, but the same principles are equally applicable to extend any extensions or sub-classes of a core class. Also, the ODL processor is referred to above as being capable of receiving object description language and generating source code languages. The output of the ODL pre-processor however, need not be restricted just source code languages. It may also provide as output, intermediate representations or

intermediate languages such as Microsoft.NET's CIL, or in any form executable by a processor.

Also, it should be understood that the programs, processes, or methods described herein are not related or limited to any particular type of computer apparatus. Various  
5 types of general purpose or specialized computer apparatus may be used with or perform operations in accordance with the teachings described herein. Actions described herein can be achieved by computer-readable media comprising computer-executable instructions for performing such actions. Elements of the illustrated embodiment shown in software may be implemented in hardware and vice versa. In  
10 view of the many possible embodiments to which the principles of our invention may be applied, it should be recognized that the detailed embodiments are illustrative only and should not be taken as limiting the scope of our invention. Rather, we claim as our invention all such embodiments as may come within the scope and spirit of the following claims and equivalents thereto.